### Equality Impact Assessment Stoke Poges Parking Scheme

When completing this Equality Impact Assessment, please refer to the accompanying guidance available on the intranet <u>here</u>. Please be concise, use plain English and note that this document may be available to members of the public.

#### Part 1: Project details

Project title	Stoke Poges Parking Scheme	
Is this a new or existing project?	New	
Responsible officer	John Pateman	
Job title	Design Services Team Leader	
Contact phone number	07971 107046	
Email	john.pateman@buckinghamshire.gov.uk	
Team	Design Services	
Service	Highways & Technical Services	
Business Unit		
Date started	d November 2020	
Date completed	Ongoing	

# Part 2: Purpose and Objectives

2.1	What is the purpose of	To implement no waiting at any time waiting restrictions (double yellow lines) to prevent obstruction and			
	the project or change?	in locations of limited visibility for motorists and pedestrians in Hollybush Hill and Rogers Lane, Stoke			
		Poges. To mark some unrestricted parking bays in Rogers Lane where it is safe to park and to also act			
		as a form of traffic calming.			
2.2	What are the key	To support the Highway Code and for the following statutory reasons:- For avoiding danger to persons			
	objectives of the project	or other traffic using the road or any other road or for preventing the likelihood of any such danger			
	or change?	arising. For facilitating the passage on the road or any other road of any class of traffic (including			
		pedestrians). For preserving or improving the amenities of the area through which the road runs.			
2.3	Which other functions,	This may assist the objectives of the local school travel plan.			
	services or policies may				
	be impacted?				
2.4	Who are the main	Residents, parents and pupils of the school, patrons of the public house, emergency services and			
	stakeholders impacted	refuse services.			
	by this project or				
	change?				
2.5	Which other	n/a			
	stakeholders may be				
	affected by this project				
	or change?				

## Part 3: Data and Research

3.1	What data and research has been used to inform this assessment?	This assessment has been based on the responses to the two informal consultations, statutory consultation and to good practice/experience from previous parking schemes.
3.2	Have any complaints on the grounds of discrimination been made in relation to this project?	There have been no specific comments about any groups with protected characteristics.

3.3	Please provide evidence	N/A				
	of these.					
3.4	What <u>positive</u> impacts	Double yellow lines supporting the Highway Code should improve visibility between motorists and all				
	have been established	road users and make it safer and easier to cross the road and junctions. This includes assisting				
	through research	wheelchair users, those with mobility difficulties and for those user push chairs (potentially maternity				
	findings, consultation	group)				
	and data analysis?					
3.5	What <u>negative</u> impacts	Where there were previously obstructions and we are introducing parking controls, there may be an				
	have been established	modest increase in some vehicle speeds perhaps impacting the vulnerable, younger and some				
	through research	disabled users, however the positive impacts of improve access and visibility for these same groups				
	findings, consultation	outweigh these negative impacts.				
	and data analysis?					
3.6	What additional	No further information will be required. Monitoring of the proposed restrictions and their impact will be				
	information is needed to	monitored after their implementation on a regular basis.				
	fill any gaps in					
	knowledge about the					
	potential impact of the					
	project?					

### Part 4: Testing the impact

Within this table, please indicate ( $\checkmark$ ) whether the project will have positive, negative or neutral impacts across the following nine protected factors and provide relevant comments. Both positive and negative impacts should be specified where this applies. Note 1: Listing a negative outcome does not mean the project cannot continue. Note 2: This is an opportunity to identify and address issues for improvement Positive Negative Neutral What evidence do you have for this? **Improvement Actions Required** Impact Impact Impact 4.1 Age  $\checkmark$ Statutory Consultation. N/A 4.2 Disability Statutory Consultation.  $\checkmark$ N/A 4.3 Gender  $\checkmark$ **Statutory Consultation** N/A

4.4	Marriage & Civil Partnership		~	Statutory Consultation	N/A
4.5	Pregnancy, Maternity & Paternity	~		Statutory Consultation. The implementation of the proposed waiting restrictions to support the Highway Code will improve access to the school for those taking young children	N/A
4.6	Race		✓	Statutory Consultation	N/A
4.7	Religion & Belief		✓	Statutory Consultation.	N/A
4.8	Sexual Orientation		~	Statutory Consultation	N/A
4.9	Transgender		~	Statutory Consultation	N/A
4.10	Carers*		✓	Statutory Consultation	N/A

\*someone who provides unpaid care for a family member or friend who is unable to cope without their support. This may be due to illness, disability, frailty, mental health problems or addiction